

BOOT 'EM!

May~June '86




SOUTHAMPTON PAPER

for autonomous class struggle.

WORKERS' POWER

NO
SUBSTITUTE



OUR POWER:

TO END WAGE SLAVERY, DOLE SLAVERY &

THE BOSSES' BULLSHIT THAT JUSTIFIES IT.

FEED
IT
YOUR
ART
A

ON THEIR NEED FOR A 'LESSER EVIL'

As the local government elections approach us - eventually appearing on May 8th - we feel precious little need to tell our readers that we don't give a damn and definitely won't be voting. That anybody should bother about the local elections astonishes us - the working-class has quite rightfully ignored them for decades.

There are many people who would agree with that statement yet who cheerfully back Aquino, the new Haiti rulers, the Sandinistas, etc, and who are astounded by our reactions of 'who gives a fuck for any bunch of capitalists'. The reasons why Marcos and Duvalier fell have nothing to do with 'evil', 'bad', 'dictator', etc.. They have everything to do with capitalism and U.S. capitalism in particular. Marcos has been replaced by Aquino - a rich landowner, hardly the stuff of which proletarians are made - whilst Duvalier has been replaced by a clique of his henchmen.

The fall of both occurred as U.S. capitalism saw the chance (or remote possibility) of things going against their interests as neither government could guarantee to control the situation any longer. Both Marcos and Duvalier were given platinum handshakes by the Yanks - remember, they moved them out. The Yanks replaced Marcos with Cory Aquino (whose late unmourned husband once worked for the C.I.A. helping to crush a guerilla movement - a task now taken on by Cory) who has been given a very smooth P.R. job in the western press. She represents just another section of the capitalist class - her social origins, the support of certain ex-Marcos generals and henchmen bear witness to this. The Americans, interested in their military bases, are not especially concerned in exactly who runs a country as long as they don't attack capitalism

So the main point is that essentially no real social change has occurred - the scum on the top of the fetid water may have changed shades and that's all. Capitalism in all its forms needs the 'lesser evil' - be it the Labour Party, Cory Aquino, Peres, Craxi, Castro, Fabius, Alan Whitehead or Hatton to attempt to concentrate our struggle on reforms or at the very least backing some bunch of clowns who claim they will make things better (and usually, they do so but for themselves not us). The school of political thought known as the 'Eskimo Flasher' usually tells us to vote for somebody so that they can be exposed - by now, if this was true the Labour Party would have died from hypothermia. Meanwhile, local capitalist leftists like Hatton put the situation quite clearly - e.g. "We have given him (the minister responsible for overseeing the cuts) a clear warning today that if he carries on along the path that he is taking the social consequences will be his responsibility, not ours" - Hatton quoted in the Guardian 23.2.84. - i.e. we will control things for you, the capitalists. The joke is that he couldn't - witness the riots in Toxteth, etc. which pose a far greater threat to Thatcher than any of Shatton and his cohorts' plans.

The rule of capital is paramount - the choice of who rules capital is a false one. The only choice is when it will be abolished. The choice between Norman 'Dopey' Best, Alan Whitehead or Vinson the Veracious is nothing but a choice of rulers. A proletarian plague on all their houses.

As ever our address for correspondence, brickbats, praise, money (no cheques - please), is;

BOOT 'EM, Box A1; 4, Onslow Road, Southampton.

All the articles in this issue except are written by us - the exception being the article, 'Out Of Order'. In future articles not written by us will have the writers' initials at the bottom of said article. All articles though express the demented alcohol-ridden view of BOOT 'EM.....

We would also like to thank L+E for the artwork on the back page of your favourite local cop.

DONATIONS

£10 - C. (Southampton) ; £1 - K. (Southampton) ; £1 - L. (Southampton) ; 20p - A. (West Midlands) ; 42p - G. (Wolverhampton).

Thanks to you all and especially C. for the tenner.

LOCAL NEWS AND A FEW VIEWS

BITTERNE SOCIALLY SERVICED ?

Bitterne Social Services office which has been burnt down and attacked by a man with an axe in recent times has received a visit from some of yer friendly local anarchists a couple of weeks back.

V.D.U.s were attacked, typewriters tippexed, and glued whilst filing cabinets were forced open and ink liberally sprayed on their contents.

It certainly doesn't seem a good place to work (a note to the local fuzz; our informant for this incident works inside Social Services - so there). This incident went completely unreported in the ever - vigilant local press.

COP REPORT

Whilst dear old Duke John is lucky enough to have his features splattered over our back page, everything has not been going his way in the past couple of months. We referred in the last issue to his forthcoming use of extra horses against the local hunt sabs (who according to him, come from all over the cities and towns of Britain - a lie). Well, the dear old Ministry of Defence has turned down his request for these extra 4 horses and dear Johnny One - Cell Brain was evidently very, very miffed.

Meanwhile, Duke John has been putting out his annual work of fiction - i.e. his annual report. Evidently, quite a lot of police have been suffering from heartburn and indigestion. This no doubt explains the low rate of crime detection - which is only 37% (and only of those crimes reported). We reckon that this is really about a 3% detection rate. Not that it worries us too much - the local councillors reckoned it was an

COP REPORT (continued)

'appalling rate' and that 'it wasn't safe to walk the streets' (and that was a leftie councillor - god knows, the right wingers will probably be ordering F-111's on Hampshire soon).

GLAZIER SQUAD HIT

Last Sunday night local glaziers went to work on the local head Tory office in Archers Road when about a dozen windows were broken. Evidently, an Alpine Double-Glazing van was not seen in the vicinity. To whoever did it - well done.

This incident again went unreported in the local press who seem to spend most of their time chasing something called the Royal Family. Has Sarah Ferguson got false teeth - who cares !!!!!!!

POST OFFICE NEWS

The night shift at the parcels office has been cut out and postmen now have 3 chutes to cover where previously they've had to cope with only one - shorter tea breaks have also been enforced. The work that previously was done at the parcels depot is being transferred to Redhill which is working at only 40% capacity. With the introduction of the Improved Working Methods (IWM) agreement - whose aim is to restrict overtime - bonus payments have been introduced. This bonus started at £8 the first week but has fallen in 4 weeks to £1.50. Meanwhile, the Post Office refuses to hire any more full-time staff (about 60-70 people have left since Xmas) and casuals (who are paid slightly less than regular post office workers) have been introduced.

(continued next page.....)

QUIZ TIME

Question 1

Who said £8,000 was a booby prize? - Was it;

- a) Andrew Ridgeley on hearing that his royalties on Wham's records worked out at double that per hour.
- b) Ex-President Duvalier (Baby Doc) who said it whilst counting his very, very loose change.
- c) Frank Peters in Millbrook U.B.O. when told of his forthcoming 35p per week rise.
- d) Paul McCartney when told it was a teacher's salary.
- e) A woman, whose husband worked at Sellafield and died of cancer.


Question 2

Who said: 'All I want is to help Mme. Aquino preserve the country from leftist insurgents'?

Was it:

- a) President Reagan
- b) Ex-President Marcos
- c) P.W. Botha
- d) Dr. Savinbi
- e) Benzair Bhutto
- f) Norman Best

Answers will be found on Page 10 - this time with explanations.



MORE NEWS AND VIEWS

POST OFFICE NEWS (cont.)

An interesting note here is that postmen in the Cardiff area have been on strike for a week originally over the sacking of a postman. They forced his reinstatement but management wanted to introduce casuals to clear the backlog of mail which resulted in the postmen staying out on strike and refused to work with the casuals.

+++++

Very late news the postal strike in South Wales ended on April 29th.

+++++

WAPPING AND PRINT NEWS

The battle to save 6,000 printworkers' jobs continues; locally, there have been pickets of the TNT depot at Eastleigh and at distributors, SurrIDGE Dawson and Bishops, who have handled the scab papers on nights when picketing has occurred. Southampton saw a 'mass meeting at the Polygon when about 80 people turned up to see Tony Dubbins (NGA

WAPPING AND PRINT NEWS (cont.)

leader) and others spoke. Meanwhile, we know that recruitment for Wapping is still being carried on by the EEPTU at BREL, Eastleigh (see 'Rip Up The Tracks' article) evidently for holiday relief staff whose jobs are to cover scabs when they have holidays. Also, workers at TNT, Eastleigh have been offered jobs at Wapping.

Elsewhere, over at United Newspapers (which owns the Express papers) the chairman, David Stevens, got a pay-rise of £78,394 last year (to £134,861) and the chief executive, Gordon Linacre, got a pay-rise of £65,910 (to £148,045). Mr. Linacre was quoted as saying, 'I don't what it accounts for - it comes as some surprise to me' - shitbag.

INDUSTRIAL NEWS

Recent job losses include: BAT - 290 jobs; 60 jobs in the docks; 90 at Wadhams in Portsmouth; 200 jobs at Coopervision; 20 jobs are set to go on the buses in June; 100 jobs at Lloyd Bowmaker in Bournemouth - presumably, there's more money to be made in South Africa where Lloyd's Bank are headed.

1886

1986

THE FIRST OF MAY 1886
WAS CHOSEN BY THE
WORKERS' MOVEMENT IN
AMERICA AS THE DAY TO
ENFORCE AN EIGHT-HOUR
DAY, THROUGH STRIKES
AND AGITATION. IN THE
MIDST OF A BLOODY
STRUGGLE BETWEEN BOSS
AND WORKER, 8 ANARCHISTS
WERE FRAMED WITH THE
KILLING OF 7 POLICEMEN.
AFTER A SHOW TRIAL, 5
WERE EXECUTED BY THE
AMERICAN STATE :-

LOUIS LINGG, GEORG ENGEL,
AUGUST SPIES, ADOLPH
FISCHER + ALBERT PARSONS.

SINCE THEN MAY DAY
HAS BECOME A WORLD -
WIDE SYMBOL OF WORKERS'
SOLIDARITY AND A
COMMEMORATION OF THE
MARTYRS :

"IF YOU THINK THAT
BY HANGING US YOU
CAN STAMP OUT THE
WORKERS' MOVEMENT,
THEN CALL YOUR
HANGMAN ... YOU CANNOT
UNDERSTAND IT."

- AUGUST SPIES.

THE CLASS WAR
GOES ON.....

THE 100TH

MAY DAY

IMPRISONED

MINERS

LIST

The following list is taken from a recent issue of Black Flag.

- William Smith - 2½ yrs.(from February 1985)
- E 44975, Durham Prison, Old Elvet, Durham DH1 3HM.
- Clive Thompson - 3 yrs.(from April 1985).
- G 79348, Acklington Prison, Morpeth, Northumberland NE65 9XF.
- Paul Wright - 18 months.
- G 78424, Kirkham Prison, Freckleton Road, Preston, Lancs.
- David Gaunt - 2½ yrs(from December 1984).
- E 71037, A Wing, Millers Park, Youth Custody Centre, Doddington Road, Wellingborough
- Terry French - 4 yrs(from January 1985).
- B 73383, Weald Wing, Maidstone Prison, Kent.
- Chris Tazey - 3 yrs(from January 1985).
- A 29398, Youth Detention Centre, Springfield Road, Chelmsford, Essex.
- Dean Hancock - 8 yrs.
- Gartree Prison, Nr. Market Harborough, Leicester.
- Russell Shankland - 8 yrs.
- Gartree Prison, nr. Market Harborough, Leicester.

The following miners are also in nick at the moment although there is no information on their present whereabouts.

- William Bell - 18 months youth custody(from December 1985).
- Gary Blackmore - 2 yrs. youth custody (from December 1985).
- John Hemingway - 15 months (from December 1985).
- Anthony Howe - 2 yrs. youth custody (from December 1985).
- Robert Howe - 21 months youth custody (from December 1985).
- John Robinson - 18 months (from December 1985).
- John Robson - 15 months (from December 1985).
- Anthony Rutherford - 18 months (from December 1985).
- Nigel Hodgson - 3 yrs. (from November 1985).
- Martin Hodgson - 3 yrs. (from November 1985).
- Paul Brothwell - 12 months.

All these miners are class prisoners and as far as we know would welcome letters, postcards, etc. from those of us lucky enough to be on the outside. So, a stamp don't cost that much !!!

REVIEWS



"THE EXISTENCE OF ALL TORIES - BE THEY RIGHTISTS, CENTRISTS, LEFTISTS, VANGUARDISTS - IS AN EXISTENCE THE WORKING-CLASS WILL ABOLISH IN THE MOMENT OF REVOLUTION. NOW, LEAVE ME ALONE I WANT TO HAVE MY PINT AND CHASER."

HOW SOCIALIST IS THE SOCIALIST WORKERS PARTY ? - WILDCAT

This pamphlet is a study of the SWP's politics and activity in very general terms and uses the miners strike as a particular example of the SWP's politics.

Basically it states that for many people the SWP is seen as being an organisation which could bring about socialism through working-class struggle. It is not tainted with association with the Labour Party, - that is unless you were active in the early 60's -, is opposed to the Eastern Bloc and appears to lack the dogmatism of traditional Trotskyism.

Generally, the pamphlet points out that the SWP considers itself to be part of the same 'working-class movement' as the Labour Party and the Trades Unions, the pamphlet goes on however to point out the obvious fact that the Labour Party and the Trades Unions are but part of the problem. Consequently it proceeds to argue that the SWP is actually working against the working-class rather than with it.

**continued
on next
page**

REVIEWS

The section on the miners chronicles the SWP's move from supporting rank and file organisation and actions to actively criticising the hit squads and retreating to concentrate on activity within the unions. It also chronicles the SWP's surprise at the TUC not doing anything. Organisation like the SWP were partially responsible along with the unions for peddling the myth that the Labour movement was forever just coming over the hill.

The pamphlet is generally coherent if a little ahistorical in the first section especially. The history of the SWP might have been expanded and placed at the front of the pamphlet. A little explanation of the SWP's views on national liberation and an attack on that myth would help to remove some of the insularity that is dictated by an approach specifically limited to the British situation.

The history of the SWP might have reflected on some of their particular vagaries; it might also consider why it has had so many splits and why people have left it (or gone through it) in such large numbers.

A major criticism of the pamphlet must be its conclusion which is very, very short and really does not confront the problem of what we should be doing to help create the society we wish. Personally, I'm not that concerned with the fate of the SWP but I am concerned with helping to build an autonomous working-class group to help bring about a revolution. The mixture of Wildcat's own views within the main text of the pamphlet is somewhat confusing at times and really should have been brought out more clearly in the conclusion. This may well be why Wildcat have decided to bring out another pamphlet outlining their politics in greater detail.


However, despite these criticisms and suggestions it is still a very good pamphlet and it's well worth reading.

It is available from wildcat, c/o Raven Press, 75, Piccadilly, Manchester M1 2BU

CLASS WAR
CHANNEL

DIRECT ACTION
MOVEMENT
CHANNEL

LOCAL CHANNELS
OF YOUR CHOICE



ORGANISE!

ANARCHY OR CHAOS? - published by SAG(AOC), 121, Railton Road, London S.E. 24. (free - send a s.a.e.)

This little pamphlet is put out by Streatham Action Group and is based on what SAG feels is a need for a conference of all revolutionary anarchist groups and individuals. This need springs from the total lack of coordination within the anarchist movement. The SAG feel that a national Revolutionary Anarchist Federation could be set up from such a conference.

Since this publication came out the Class War Federation has been created whilst there is of course the Direct Action Movement which is a specifically anarcho-syndicalist organisation. Both have distinct sets of beliefs (and we've got disagreements with both groups) so if the SAG proposal gets off the ground there would be a third. We feel that the major problem of such a suggestion is that it refers to 'revolutionary anarchists' - well, we've never met any anarchist who claimed to be otherwise.

Our view is that some regroupment should take place but as we stated in issue 1 of Boot 'Em not on a vacuous anarchism but on a revolutionary basis that recognises that only the working-class can and will destroy capitalism and the state. Trying to organise everybody who claims to be a 'revolutionary anarchist' will be a failure since neither vacuity nor populism will lead anywhere but farther disintegration.

OUT T O F O R O U R

Within the next year or so, the Tories will have passed through Parliament the new Public Order Act. Under the Act, riot carries a life sentence, disorderly conduct (for example, shouting 'scab') carries a £400 fine, a demonstration that becomes 'rowdy' (their definition - not ours) is known as violent disorder carrying a 5 year sentence, and so on. Such a Bill is only to be expected from the Tories, who have always made it clear that their overall aim is the suppression of the working class. What is not so clear is that the Left, and its 'radical' civil lib groups like the NCCL, are also largely in some respects to blame for such Acts. These 'radicals' are always baying for more Police powers to combat 'anti-social' elements - allegedly groups like the NF, BM, etc.. In reality, what this has allowed the State and its puppet Police to do is to crack down on the only element that it despises and is threatened by - those of us who fight to bring an end to it.

To help understand how the new Act will be used, it is worth going back to the last time such an Act was introduced - the Public Order Act of 1936. Calls for such an Act could be heard from all colours of the Parliamentary political spectrum when Mosley formed the British Union of Fascists in 1932. By 1936 Mosley was gaining support. But he and his blackshirts had met fierce working class resistance (the most famous being the battle of Cable Street). When Mosley tried to hold rallies thousands of working class people would verbally and physically attack the Fascists.

D O R D E R E E R

The Government, and its growing rivalry with Hitler, were worried about Mosley and, with support from the Left and the newly-formed NCCL, wanted to legally restrain Mosley and his supporters - or so their story went. What the politicians were really worried about was that working class communities organised themselves and successfully fought the fascists. This was far more worrying a development to them than Mosley. After all, wasn't the working class there to be ruled, not be able to take care of themselves? So they produced the Public Order Act, with the NCCL publicly applauding the section that makes 'it an offence for persons to be organised, trained or equipped to usurp the functions of the Police.' It meant that the Police would have total rule over our streets.

History soon showed that the Act would be used not against Mosley but, again and again, it would be turned on the working class.

The first time it was used was against miners striking at Harworth Colliery, Notts, in 1936 (those who don't remember the past are condemned to repeat it?). Hundreds were prosecuted for picketing against the scab Spencer union.

Then, from 1937 - 40 ALL demonstrations in East London were banned. This came at a time of political upheaval and increasing class awareness and resistance. The excuse of Mosley/Public Order was used to suppress all anti-State activity.

The war and (relative) calm of the fifties and sixties meant that there was little use for the act, although it was used to ban some CND/Committee of 100 demo's in the early 60's.

The 70's saw a rise in fascism again, in the guise of the National Front, etc.. The Anti-Nazi league was formed to combat the NF, but they made the same balls-up of 40 years before. Instead of helping working-class communities organise effective resistance to the Nazis, they appealed to the State to ban the NF. They feared alienation from the Establishment if they advocated community self-defence - remember, the Left, whatever they may say, still want a Police
(cont. over the page.)

RIP UP THE

"This is the most serious threat the works has ever had."

-Bill Luffman, Eastleigh Trades Council.

It's about 4 months since the British Rail Board announced that British Rail Engineering Ltd. would be 'restructured' - involving a shift of heavy repair work to Derby, York, Horwich and Crewe and the downgrading of the workshops at Eastleigh, Wolverton, Doncaster and Glasgow to regional maintenance. For Eastleigh this would mean a possible 1,600 job losses and even closure. April 1st was the date from which the 'streamlining' of BREL was to take place, although the Board don't intend to announce anything until May 20th.

It's generally recognised at the Eastleigh works that management is putting its plans into operation and is keeping us in the dark about what's going on. This is a deliberate tactic - allowing workers to get used to the idea they might be sacked, leading to demoralisation and fatalism. The bosses have also learnt from Swindon (the railworks that closed at the end of March) - when workers there were told of closure they didn't do much work, quite naturally wanting to stretch things out as long as possible. With this in mind the management, not wanting too demoralised a workforce in case it affects the quality and speed of work, has passed down messages of 'hope', with the intention of giving us enough rope to work to schedule. The following circular from the works manager, Stainthorpe, is a good example of this psychological warfare:

"ADDITIONAL WORKLOAD 1986/87

FOLLOWING DISCUSSIONS WITH THE SOUTHERN

REGION, I WISH TO INFORM YOU THAT WE

HAVE GAINED 36 EXTRA C3 REPAIRS

AND BESIDES THE NORMAL C3 WORK THERE

WILL BE AN EXTRA BONUS OF ADDITIONAL

BODY AND DOOR REPAIRS.....


THIS INCREASE IN THE WORKLOAD IS QUITE

SIGNIFICANT AND PROVES THE SOUTHERN

REGION HAVE CONFIDENCE IN OUR ABILITY.


IT IS UP TO EVERYBODY TO ENSURE THAT

THIS CONFIDENCE IS NOT MISPLACED AND



TRACKS

continued overleaf.....



THAT THE WORKLOAD IS ACHIEVED WITHIN
THE SCHEDULED TIME AT THE RIGHT
PRICE AND TO THE QUALITY SPECIFIED."

This notice was greeted with widespread derision: C3 carriage repair is the sort of shitty work that'll take a few months to clear up. C1 work is what keeps Eastleigh going (requiring electricians, etc.), but it's suspected that the C1s are already trundling towards Derby, which has taken on 80 more apprentices; the Eastleigh apprentice school closed last month. Others have read great significance into Stainthorpe's previous positions - formerly works manager at Swindon (now closed), and before that at Shildon (now closed).

In the run up to the big day (closure?) management also wants to impose 'work flexibility'. This means Category 3 or 4 blokes (like electricians, crane drivers, etc.) would be required to do Cat. 1 or 2 jobs (like labouring) when deemed necessary. This would enable management to cut costs through redundancies and keep a tighter rein on whoever's left - they are unlikely to want to see electricians doing nothing when the C1 carriages stop rolling in. These flexibility proposals are also an attempt to divide us: tradesmen stand to get £8 extra a week if they agree to it, and some shitheads will think it too much of a temptation. It's no coincidence that work flexibility was enforced at Shildon before it closed.

Meanwhile, the usual war of attrition continues apace..... foremen keeping an eye on the clocks, raids on locker rooms to flush out early tea drinkers, various attempts to get people sacked to save on the redundancy payments. There is resistance, though obviously much of it is disguised - working slowly, fucking-up the job, sneaking off to the bogs, going off sick. Last week 4 machine shop labourers walked out after refusing to sweep up asbestos... but other labourers agreed to do it.

It seems that management isn't the only thing we have to struggle against. There are obstacles and illusions that have to be swept aside, but that can't be done without a will to fight, born out of a sense of common interest.

Unfortunately, it's that sense of class interest that some, particularly tradesmen, lack. Many electricians have a sense of pride in 'their' craft and see themselves as a group apart from other workers. Most electricians tend to despise labourers and many will probably vote for work flexibility just for the extra cash. On top of that, there are still application forms for Wapping circulating - emanating from Bill Luffman (quoted at the start of this article) local EETPU full-timer. He has two sons at Wapping. A former BREL worker, he's remembered as being something of a 'militant'. All this isn't to say that all electricians are bastards - far from it - but they would be labouring under a heavy illusion if they think they're a cut above the rest of us - they're still wage slaves and can be picked off like the rest of us.

TRACKS

R

I

P

U

P



Another obstacle and factor of division for combative workers is the unions. The NUR has most members at the works, followed by the TGWU, EETPU, AUEW, TASS and the TSSA for office workers. Not long ago the TGWU called its members out on strike, whilst the NUR left it to 'individual choice' whether to walk out too. This led to the bizarre situation of a foreman telling an NUR labourer to join the strike. Certainly, among some of the longer established workers there is a bitterness about the divisive tactics and hesitancy to take action by the unions, exemplified by such statements as 'We should've organised ourselves', or 'We should've supported the miners'. With Eastleigh facing closure there is a mood of demoralisation in part fed by a recognition of this past impotence. "We should've gone on strike in 1981 when Ashford (another workshop) closed." Now there's a widespread feeling that it's 'too late'.

The current ludicrous 'campaign' to 'save the works' just about caps it all. Known by some as 'the Champagne' it is a popular front of the Joint Trade Union Committee, the local Chamber of Commerce and the local Tory M.P. (!) and has lobbied Parliament and the British Rail Board. It's really just another way of trying to ensure our passivity in the face of the B.R.B.'s attack. "The campaign's going well lads, keep looking at the noticeboard". Not surprisingly the noticeboard is frequently attacked.


It's hard to be optimistic about the possibility of an effective counter-attack against the board's reorganisation plans for BREL. Resistance will have to come from all BREL workers and will have to be conducted by us without the unions and their 'campaigns'.

It'll be a matter of cutting through the illusions and structures that isolate us - not just within the railways, but within our class as a whole which is undergoing the same offensive of the bosses, whether postal workers, unemployed or health workers. It is the self-organisation and linking of all our struggles that we should aim for - and it won't be easy.

T

H

E



T

R


A

C

K

S

~~DUKE~~ ~~JERK~~ ~~DUKE~~
~~JOHN~~ ~~PUKE~~ ~~FRUNK~~
~~NUKE~~
~~PIC~~ ~~JOKE~~
~~BASTARD~~



WE FUCKING
HATE YOU
ANYWAY. 